Review of some recent approaches in quantitative proteomics
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Abstract

An attempt is made to review a number of approaches that appeared in recent literature which address quantitative proteome analysis. These methods can be divided into two main streams: those applicable to conventional two-dimensional map analysis, coupling orthogonally a charge-based step (isoelectric focusing) to a size-based separation (SDS-electrophoresis) and those applicable to two-dimensional chromatographic protocols. The first method, although being by and large the most popular approach, can offer differential display of paired samples with relatively few methods, the oldest one being based on statistical analysis performed on sets of gels via powerful software packages. Recent developments comprise analysis performed on a single gel containing mixed samples differentially labelled, either with fluorophors (Cy3 and Cy5) or with d₀/d₃ acrylamide. Conversely, chromatographic approaches, which mostly rely on analysis not of intact proteins but of their tryptic digests, offer a panoply of differential labelling protocols, most of which rely on stable isotope tagging. Essentially all possible reactions have been described, such as those involving Lys, Asp, Glu, Cys residues, as well as a number of methods exploiting differential derivatization of amine and carboxyl groups generated during proteolysis.

1 Introduction

The goal of proteomics is usually defined as the identification of the proteins encoded in the human (or any other) genome followed by the determination of:

1. their range of expression across the different cell types that constitute the various (human) tissues;
2. their sub-cellular localisation;
3. their post-translational modifications;
4. their interaction with other proteins;
5. their structure-function relationships.

Proteome analysis, in all of its variegated aspects, has been recently amply described in a number of books(1-7), which trace the evolution of the technique, from the well-ingrained O’Farrell two-dimensional (2-D) maps by orthogonal charge/size fractionation (IEF/IPG SDS-PAGE) in a gel slab format (8), to recent multi-dimensional chromatographic steps coupling practically all kinds of chromatographies described up to the present time, or to hybrid methodologies, combining chromatography with electrophoresis.

A key issue in all these approaches is quantitation of all spots/peaks resolved, so as to enable a description of the evolution of physiological/pathological events in terms of up- and down-regulation of any possible expressed phenotype. Such a differential display would allow to select key proteins involved in such events as potential drug targets in an ever expanding pharmaceutical market seeking development of new, powerful and properly engineered drugs. In the conventional 2-D approach, able to display several thousand spots in a single gel slab, this was done with the aid of powerful computer algorithms, developed over the years, such as the Melanie and the PD-Quest, able to create standard maps of, e.g., physiological vs. pathological cell populations, compare them via an overlapping procedure, and detect all spots exhibiting higher or lower stain intensity (typically Coomassie Blue), on the assumption that such densitometric differences would reflect differential protein expression. Although this procedure has been amply demonstrated in innumerable publications up to the present, it suffers from two shortcomings: first of all, the extremely laborious and time-consuming set-up, requiring generation of at least five maps for each state (control vs. disease); in a second instance, the fact...
that it could not possibly apply to all chromatographic approaches recently described. New alternatives to quantitative proteomics had thus to be sought, and in fact a host of methods appeared suddenly in the scientific press in just about two-three year’s period. It is the aim of this review to bring them to the lime light and give figures of merits to the various approaches.

2 Quantitative approaches based on 2-D map analysis

2.1 Statistical analysis of separately-run 2-D maps

As stated above, comparison of 2-D maps, separately run, by powerful softwares (similar to those used by astronomers for mapping stars in a given portion of the night sky; in fact, one of the first, embryonic programs developed was nicknamed Tycho, in honour of Tycho Brahe, a famous Danish astronomer of the seventeen century) (9) is one of the oldest and most popular methods in the electrophoretic approach to proteome analysis. The sequence of panels in Figure 1 gives an example of such a procedure. It refers to neuroblastomas, a type of tumour that accounts for approximately 9% of all childhood cancers, occurring once out of 8,000 live births, as analyzed in an experimental mice model. Figure 1A shows the experimental design: for statistical significance 3 to 5 animals, for each state, should be sacrificed; the pooled tissue samples will then processed as illustrated below, in preparation for the first dimension of a 2-D map (in general a pH 3-10, non-linear, immobilized pH gradient). As illustrated in Figure 1B, 4-5 replicas of such 2-D maps should be run simultaneously, so as to maximize spot reproducibility (in general, we prefer fairly large-size 2-D maps, 18 cm in the focusing dimension, 20 cm in the SDS dimension, although even larger sizes, e.g. 24 x 30 cm, have been reported). From the replicas of the control and pathological states, master maps are produced, which contain all spots found in the individual gels. Spot intensities were normalized in each gel and a statistical test was adopted to evaluate significant differences between the healthy and tumoral groups, thus eliminating artefacts due to gel running. The comparison between the two master maps offers a clue about polypeptide chains whose expression is either up- or down-regulated. Figure 1C gives an example of the up-regulated proteins in the tumour tissue, the bar graph to the right side listing the number of spots having experienced increments from two up to ten folds (two-fold being the threshold for a statistically significant change in spot volume). Figure 1D gives an analogous scheme for down-regulated proteins in neuroblastomas. Such analysis can offer additional information too, as shown in Figure 1E. It can detect protein spots that are newly expressed in the tumoral
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**Experimental design**

Healthy mice (nu/nu)  
control  

Tumoral mice (nu/nu)  

**Sample preparation**

- Homogenization (homogenate 5%) with 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 3% Chaps, 40 mM Tris, 5 mM TBP, 0.5% C.A, pH 3-10, 5mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 50 U/mL DNAase for 1 hour
- Centrifugation at 6000 rpm for 5 min to eliminate residual particles
- Resolubilization with 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 3% Chaps, 40 mM Tris
- Acetone/methanol of precipitation
- Alkylation with 10 mM AA for 1 h. The reaction was blocked with 10 mM DTT
samples as regard to control ones and protein spots that are newly silenced in the tumoral samples as compared to healthy ones. These kinds of spots are highlighted in green. Once this differential analysis has been performed, all the spots of interest are excised, in gel digested and subsequently characterized by mass spectrometry (e.g., using MALDI-TOF or LC/ESI-MS). Once the precise mass of each tryptic fragment is obtained, together with a lead sequence, interrogation of a number of databases (e.g., SwissProt, TrEMBL, NCBInr, and the like) enables proper identification of the unknown protein, provided, of course, that it is listed in any of them.

2.2 Differential, in-gel electrophoresis

This approach, amply used to the present, gives
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highly reliable results, but it is terribly time consuming and truly labour intensive. An alternative to this protocol, could be the one depicted in Figure 2, known under the acronym of DIGE, differential in gel electrophoresis, as first described in 1997 by Unlu et al. (10). It is based on differential labelling with N-hydroxy-succinimide ester-modified cyanine fluorors, the most popular couple being named Cy3 and Cy5. Cy3 is excited at 540 nm and has an emission maximum at 590 nm, while Cy5 is excited at 620 nm and emits at 680 nm. The two samples to be compared are separately labelled with either Cy3 or Cy5, which covalently modify Lys residues in proteins. These dyes have positive charges to replace the loss of charge on the e-amino group of Lys, and the masses of the dyes are similar to each other. The reaction is carried out so as to label only a few Lys residues per macromolecule. As long as the extent of the reaction is similar between the samples to be compared, the mass shift will be uniform and the pI should be essentially unaltered. Given the distinguishable spectra of the two fluorophores, the two samples can then be combined and run in a single 2-D gel. The differences between the quantities of the individual proteins from each sample can then be determined using specialized 2-D image analysis software. Since both samples to be compared are separated in a single gel, this eliminates gel-to-gel variation, resulting in improved spot matching. As a corollary, the number of parallel and replicate gels required for obtaining reliable results is greatly reduced. Furthermore, fluorescence imparts the ability of detecting proteins over a much broader linear dynamic range of concentrations than visible gel stains (11), in the DIGE technique (12). Proteins that are present at equal levels in the two cell populations give a uniform violet hue. Proteins present in only one of the two tissues under comparison are either purely red or blue in colour, according to the Cy3/Cy5 label which they carry. Proteins up- and down-regulated give intermediate hues which are properly quantified by specialized software (13,14).

One potential limitation of this method is that excision of spots of interest for identification by MS might be inaccurate, unlike in conventional 2-D gels. Coomassie or silver-stained gels can be excised manually or using a spot cutting robot because protein spots are visible. Robots enabled to “see” fluorescent spots do not exist as yet. Even so, excision still remains unreliable because, with minimal labelling conditions, only a few percent of a specific protein is labelled and this minor fluorescent population is generally shifted to slightly higher mass position due to the mass of the covalently bound dye.
Therefore, the position of the bulk amount of unlabelled protein could be shifted about one spot diameter down (lower Mr values), but this could lead to excision of contaminants, different from the protein of interest. Should one carry the labelling of Lys to higher extents, the situation would be even more disastrous: not only this would generate more elongated spot areas along the second dimension (and possibly also along the first one), but it would surely impede trypsin action on the blocked Lys residues, thus generating a large number of missed cutting sites, much larger peptides and inability to enter databases with correct values for protein identification.

2.3 Isotope-coded two-dimensional maps
In a third variant for quantitative proteome analysis by 2-D PAGE, one could exploit the technique of stable isotope tagging. This approach would utilize the same strategy depicted in Figure 2, but adopt labelling strategies involving light/heavy forms of the same tagging molecule. An example of such an approach could be the use of d0/d3 acrylamide for blocking Cys residues in intact protein molecules. The use of light/heavy acrylamide to alkylate proteins prior to their 2-D electrophoretic separation was recently reported by Sechi (15) and by Gehanne et al. (16). Both reports have demonstrated that this procedure, when combined with MALDI-TOF-MS, could be a valid tool for protein identification and relative quantification. The basic steps in such approach are depicted in Figure 3. Basically, relative quantification of individual proteins in two different samples is achieved by alkylating one sample with d0-acrylamide, and the second with its d3-counterpart; the two samples are then combined with predetermined ratios, dialyzed, and subjected to 2-D gel-electrophoresis. Following visualisation of the separated proteins, each spot can be excised, digested with trypsin, and examined by MALDI-TOF. The relative quantification of a number of proteins would then be obtained by comparing the relative peak heights within a reflector MALDI spectrum of two adjacent isotopic envelopes that happen to differ by m/z = 3. The application of this approach to quantitation of various proteins within the 2-D map of rat serum shown in Figure 4 is illustrated below. The map in Figure 3, covering the pH 3-10 IPG interval, was obtained by mixing in different proportions two fractions of rat sera, the first (30%) being alkylated with d0-acrylamide, and the second (70%) reacted with d3-acrylamide. A representative example of a reflector MALDI spectrum that pertains to apo-transferrin is given in Figure 4(a-c). The spectrum of the entire digest is given in (a), whereas (b) and (c) display two short intervals of the same spectrum and show two isotopic distributions marked A and A* in which a difference of 3 Da in the m/z values of the corresponding peaks is clearly evident. A database search yielded the two indicated peptides, each of which contains a single cysteine.

Figure 3. Scheme for differential labelling of two samples with d0/d3 acrylamide (alkylation of Cys residues). The central map refers to rat sera, labelled separately with either d0- or d3-acrylamide and mixed in a 30:70% ratio (from Gehanne et al. (16), by permission).
Considering the relative peak heights in both isotopic distributions, a ratio of 34:66 was obtained, which is in good agreement with the labelling ratio 30:70 prior to 2-D separation.

3 Quantitative proteomics based on chromatographic approaches

In spite of the contributions of 2-D PAGE to proteomics, there are shortcomings to this technology. High-throughput analysis of proteomes is challenging because each spot from 2-D PAGE must be individually extracted, digested and analyzed, a time-consuming process. In addition, owing to the limited loading capacity of 2-D PAGE gels and the detection limit of staining methods, 2-D PAGE presently has an insufficient dynamic range for complete proteome analysis. These shortcomings have encouraged development of alternative methods, which are today lumped together under the term “multidimensional chromatography” (17), a pompous definition hiding, in reality, just a two-dimensional approach like 2-D PAGE. In one instance, Raida et al. (18) coupled two chromatographic columns, first a cation-exchanger followed by RP-HPLC. The effluent of this last column was fed into an ESI MS machine and the peptide masses assessed. Oppenck et al. (19,20) described two different chromatographic approaches for separation of complex protein mixtures. In one approach, an E. coli lysate was injected onto a cation-exchange column and the eluates fed stepwise into a RP HPLC column. The eluate after this last step was sprayed into an ESI mass spectrometer. In a second system, size-exclusion chromatography was coupled to a RP HPLC column, again for analysis of E. coli cells. In both instances, however, too few proteins could be identified. In yet another approach, Link et al. (21) devised a discontinuous 2-D methodology, utilizing strong-anion exchange HPLC for a 1st dimension separation. Portions of the eluate were digested with trypsin and analyzed by RP-microcolumn HPLC. The eluted peptides were finally characterized by tandem mass spectrometry. Whereas this is an off-line method, Link et al. (22) subsequently devised an on-line approach, by which a peptide mixture from a digested S. cerevisiae was fed into a biphasic 2-D capillary column packed with a strong cation exchanger (SCX) juxtaposed to RP beads. Peptides were displaced iteratively by salt from the SCX resin into the RP pearls; this was followed by a classic eluant for RP columns, feeding the eluted peptides into an ESI MS-MS. After re-equilibrating the RP beads, raising the salt concentration displaced more peptides from the SCX resin onto the RP pearls, thus reiterating the process. In a single experiment, this method could resolve and identify 189 unique proteins.

Figure 4. (a) Reflector MALDI mass spectrum of an in-situ digest of apo-transferrin taken from the 2-D map of rat sera displayed in Fig. 4, that were alkylated with d$_0$-acrylamide and d$_3$-acrylamide and mixed in a 30%/70% ratio. (b) and (c) are two short intervals taken from (a), and are associated with the two indicated peptide sequences (from Gehanne et al. (16), by permission).
from a *S. cerevisiae* whole-cell lysate via SEQUEST interrogation. Most of these chromatographic approaches, unlike conventional 2-D PAGE, do not analyse intact protein species, but their entire digests obtained by trypsin treatment of a total cell lysate. Due to the enormous complexity of such a mixture, and to tackle the problem of properly quantifying the protein/peptide levels in control vs. treated samples, a number of quantitative approaches have been devised, as illustrated below.

3.1 Isotope-coded affinity tags

Perhaps one of the earliest protocols described is based on the so-called isotope-coded affinity tags (ICAT) (23,24). In this novel procedure, the stable isotopes are incorporated in the two different samples to be compared, by the selective alkylation of Cys residues with either a “heavy” or “light” reagent; after that, the two protein pools to be compared are mixed. The ICAT reagent (whose general structure is depicted in Figure 5a) is composed of three parts: a biotin portion, used as an affinity tag; a linker, which can incorporate either the heavy or light isotopes and a third terminal group, which contains a reactive iodine atom able to alkylate specifically thiol groups (Cys residues). The “heavy” ICAT contains eight deuterium atoms, which in the “light” one are replaced by standard hydrogen atoms. Proteins from two different cell states are harvested, denatured, reduced and labelled at Cys residues with either light or heavy ICAT reagent. The samples are then combined and digested with trypsin. ICAT-labelled peptides can be further isolated by biotin-affinity chromatography and then analyzed by on-line HPLC coupled to tandem MS (Fig. 5b). The ratio of the ion intensities for any ICAT-labelled pair quantifies the relative abundance of its parent protein in the original cell state. In addition, the tandem MS approach produces the sequence of the peptide, and thus can unambiguously identify the protein of interest. This strategy, ultimately, results in the quantification and identification of all protein components in a mixture and, in principle, could be applied to protein mixtures as complex as the entire genome. The complexity of the label is due to the need of reducing the enormous complexity of the peptide digest (which, for an entire eukariotic cell lysate, could be as high as 300 to 500 thousand components!). This, in turn, generates other problems: the large size of the ICAT probe (ca. 500 Da) complicates database searching for small peptides. Additionally, it will reduce its reactivity at the Cys site, reactivity that seems to be already meager thus converting Lys residues to their 4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole, which conversely, Zhang and Regnier (29) described the complete absence of such an isotope effect in the case of peptides differentially labelled with $^{13}$C- and $^{12}$C-succinate, so they strongly recommended this type of peptide-coding when attempting separations in C$_{18}$ columns.

3.2 Other types of isotope coded tags

Due to the shortcomings of just tagging Cys residues, a complementary approach has been reported by Goodlett et al. (31) where methyl esterification (using $d_0$- or $d_3$- methanol) of peptides converted carboxylic acids on the side chains of aspartic and glutamic acids as well as the carboxyl terminus, to their corresponding methyl esters. The separate $d_4$- and $d_5$- methylated peptide mixtures were combined and subjected to capillary LC/tandem mass spectrometry. Ratios of various proteins in the original mixtures were obtained by normalization of the areas under the curves for the same charge states associated with $d_0$- and $d_3$- methylated peptides. In another approach, Peters et al. (32) reacted tryptic digests of proteins with 2-methoxy-4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazole, thus converting Lys residues to their 4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-yl derivatives. This accomplishes two goals: first of all, it increases the ionization efficiencies of Lys-terminated fragments; secondly, it permits differential quantitation studies, since $d_0$ and $d_4$ forms of this label can be produced. The same Lys residues could be reacted with O-methyl-
Figure 5. Scheme of the isotope-coded affinity tag (ICAT) strategy for quantification of protein expression. (A): structure of the ICAT reagent. It consists of 3 segments: an affinity tag (biotin); a linker, which can incorporate either deuterium or hydrogen; and a reactive tail specific for thiol groups. (B): ICAT strategy. Protein from two different cell states is harvested, denatured, reduced and labelled at Cys with the light or heavy ICAT reagents. The samples are then combined and digested with trypsin. After affinity isolation of the ICAT-labelled peptides, they are analyzed in a tandem mass spectrometer. The ratio of the ion intensities for each ICAT-labelled pair quantifies the relative abundance of its parent proteins in the original state. Finally, the MS spectrum enables sequencing and protein identification (from Gygi and Aebersold, by permission).
sourcea, labelled with $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N-stable isotopes, for differential quantitation experiments (23). This reaction would transform Lys into a more basic homo-arginine residue; however, the mass difference between standard and isotope coded label would be of only 3 Da, with the additional burden of a quite expensive isotope labelling protocol. In yet another variant, a H$_4$/D$_4$ labelling reagent can be obtained by reacting nicotinic acid with N-hydroxysuccinimide (33). The resulting 1-(H$_4$/D$_4$) Nicotinoyloxy) succinimide esters are then reacted with the N-termini of tryptic digests of proteins. This results in the N-terminal nicotinylation of all peptides, via formation of an amido bond between the $\equiv$NH$_2$ and the activated carboxyl of nicotinic acid. This leaves at each terminus a weakly basic, pyridine ring, containing either an H$_4$ or a D$_4$ moiety, allowing for quantitation of differential protein expression. An analogous method, based on labelling N-termini of peptides, has been recently proposed by Mason and Liebler (34). These authors described the use of d$_0$- and d$_5$-phenyl isocyanate (PIC) as N-terminal reactive tags for essentially all peptides in proteolytic digests. PIC reacts quantitatively with peptide N-terminal amines within minutes at neutral pH and the PIC-labelled peptides undergo informative MS/MS fragmentation. Ratios of d$_0$- and d$_5$-PIC-labelled derivatives of several model peptides were linear across a 10000 fold range of peptide concentration ratios, thus indicating a wide dynamic range for quantitation. Thus, PIC labelling seems to offer a versatile means for quantifying differential protein expression in paired samples.

Instead of adding isotope-coded tags, one could use extensive, differential tagging by adopting culture media enriched with different types of stable isotopes. For instance, Oda et al. (35) grew a yeast culture on a medium that contained the natural abundance of nitrogen, whereas another culture was grown on a medium enriched with $^{15}$N. In another approach, Yao et al. (36), during peptide bond hydrolysis, incorporated two $^{18}$O atoms into the carboxyl termini of the tryptic peptides of a first pool, whereas the peptides in a second pool were cleaved analogously with the carboxyl termini containing $^{16}$O atoms. The two peptide mixtures were pooled for fractionation and separation, and were examined by high-resolution MS.

### 3.3 Global internal standard strategy (GIST)

The examples given above can be described as particular cases of what Regnier’s group has called “global internal standard strategy”. Whereas, for example, in the case of the ICAT label, only Cys-containing peptides are differentially marked, it would be highly desirable to universally label all peptides, independent of their amino acid composition, and select any kind of strategy for the selective capture of any desired population of peptides for the analysis of complex proteomes. This approach would have the advantage that any of a wide variety of derivatization and separation techniques could be applied for selection, identification, and quantification of peptides. In a first approach, Ji et al. (37) and Chakraborty and Regnier (38) introduced acylation of primary amino groups with either N-acetoxy succinimide or N-acetoxy-[2$^2$H$_3$]succinimide. As usual, one or the other reagents were added to the experimental and control samples, respectively, after the total cell extract had been fully digested with trypsin. As a result, each tryptic peptide would increase its mass by 42 amu if it contained an Arg residue at the carboxy terminus; however, those peptides with a free amino-terminus and a C-terminal Lys would be acetylated twice and thus exhibit a mass increment of 84 amu. In turn, when the peptides from the two populations labelled with the light/heavy isotope, and mixed in a 1:1 ratio, were analysed by MS, the relative peaks will be shifted by 3 mass units, for the singly labelled, or by 6 mass units (for the doubly labelled), having an area ratio that is proportional to their relative abundance in the two sample specimens. In a second approach, the same group adopted acylation of peptides via light and heavy (deuterated) succinic anhydride (39,40). Here too, a few peptides that were differentially labelled and that terminated with an Arg will exhibit mono-isotopic peaks that are spaced apart by 4 amu, whereas those having a Lys at their C-terminus will exhibit a difference of 8 amu (occasional peaks that have a further difference of 12 amu in mass were shown to contain serine; the latter adduct was easily eliminated by treating the derivatized peptides with hydroxylamide at pH ca. 12). Because all peptides would carry a light/heavy label, a variety of strategies for their purification could be adopted, in order to reduce the enormous complexity that derives from the total lysate of a proteome of a single organism (just as an example, according to genomic analysis, a total E. coli lysate would generate 132,768 peptides; a total yeast lysate would produce as many as 333,247 fragments; and a total human digest would produce the non-negligible number of 2,641,532 peptides). As an example, Wang and Regnier (39) adopted covalent chromatography to capture all Cys-containing peptides from a total E. coli lysate. After labelling with light/heavy succinic anhydride, the Cys-carrying peptides were captured via disulfide interchange with sulfidryl groups on a thiopropyl Sepharose gel. After eluting unwanted material, such peptides were released from the gel by washing with an excess of DTT. In another case, the labelled population of peptides was purified via reversed-phase chromatography on a C$_{18}$ column (38). In yet another variant, only a narrow fraction of peptides was captured (thus greatly reducing the complexity of the original digest); i.e., those that contain a Cys and a His residue (40). First, all Cys...
peptides were collected from a covalent chromatography step that involved thiol disulfide exchange. Upon elution from the thiol column, the same population was adsorbed onto an immobilized metal affinity chromatography column that had been loaded with copper. The emerging peptides were only those that contained both a Cys and a His residue (40). Finally, an isotope coding strategy involving both amine and carboxyl group labelling has been recently reported by Liu and Regnier (41). The method exploits differential derivatization of amine and carboxyl groups generated during proteolysis as a means of coding. Carboxyl groups produced during proteolysis incorporate $^{18}$O from H$_2^{18}$O.

Carboxyl groups generated during proteolysis as a means of coding. Carboxyl groups produced during proteolysis incorporate $^{18}$O from H$_2^{18}$O. Peptides from the C-terminus of proteins were not labelled with $^{18}$O unless they contained a basic C-terminal amino acid. Primary amines from control and experimental samples were differentially acylated after proteolysis with either $^1$H$_3$- or $^2$H$_3$-N-acetylsuccinamide. This methodology offers several advantages: (a) it distinguishes C-terminal peptides in model proteins; (b) it recognizes N-terminal peptides from proteins in which the amino terminus is acylated; (c) it identifies primary structure variations between proteins from different sources. For more on this topic, one can consult a recent review covering in depth all aspects of quantitative proteome analysis (42).

Conclusions

The aim of the present review was to assemble together the vast array of methodologies today existing for performing quantitative proteomics. It is of interest to notice that, except for the statistical analysis of 2-D PAGE slabs, exploiting software packages, all other methodologies have been reported only in the last few years. The vast majority of them, moreover, were developed specifically for proteome analysis performed by two-dimensional chromatography and cannot be easily adapted for gel-based methodologies, those relying on the classical O’Farrell approach (8). The reason is that most of those differential labels have been developed for tagging peptides, which are easily amenable to any kind of chromatographic procedure. In conventional, 2-D PAGE, only intact polypeptide chains can be mapped, since mixtures of peptides would not focus properly nor would be differentially sieved along the second dimension SDS-gel. Were this not enough, even if some resolution might be achieved in 2-D PGE analysis, there would still remain the problem of properly fixing and staining all peptides with an Mr <1000 Da, species recalcitrant to just about any kind of protein fixative (43). Other caveats apply as well: for instance, the differential labelling of Lys residues, while working very well for peptides generated after tryptic digestion, could hardly be applied to intact proteins, since it would mask precisely those residues susceptible to trypsin attack. Even if one could circumvent this problem by using other proteolytic enzymes, there would still remain the major drawback that, in an intact protein, it is almost impossible to carry to 100% reactions onto amino acid side chains, such as onto Lys, as well as onto Asp and Glu residues. The impossibility of reaching 100% labelling would generate strings of spots, in the focusing dimension, from partially reacted species, exhibiting per force different pI values. This, in turn, would tremendously complicate the final 2-D map and render proteome analysis meaningless. Thus, we have to live with the present paradox in modern proteome analysis: although the method still much in vogue and most popular is the traditional electrophoretic approach based on large-size gel slabs, it is also the method that offers the most limited versatility in differential proteome analysis via the vast array of methods invented for labelling peptides (44,45).
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